PART I: CONFIDENTIAL REPORT OF EXAMINER TO
THE HIGHER DEGREE RESEARCH COMMITTEE ON A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Name of Candidate: ................................................................. Student No: ......................................
Name of Supervisor: ..............................................................
Date of Thesis Submission: ......................................................
Title of Thesis: .....................................................................
Name of Examiner: ...............................................................  

REPORT OF EXAMINATION: Please tick appropriate boxes.

In my judgement this thesis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Marginal</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>makes a distinct contribution to knowledge in the area with which it deals;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>affords evidence of originality shown either by the discovery of new facts or by the exercise of independent critical power;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>is satisfactory as regards its literary presentation;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>contains a substantial amount of material suitable for publication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) (i)</td>
<td>Would you consider this thesis to be in the top 10% of doctoral level research in the field?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) (ii)</td>
<td>Would you consider this thesis to be in the top 5% of doctoral level research in the field?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(f) How many research theses have you examined? __________

I recommend: (Please tick ONE only)

☐ AWARD: That the thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without any further work by the candidate, other than the correction of typographical errors and small lapses of expression and presentation in the final copy.

☐ AWARD (AFTER CORRECTIONS): That the thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without further examination once the candidate has made a number of corrections and clarifications in the thesis, as specified in my report. The corrections are to be done to the satisfaction of the university’s Higher Degree Research Committee, and would be normally completed within a period of one to two months.

☐ REVISION and RE-EXAMINATION: That the thesis does not yet meet all required standards for the award of the degree and the candidate should complete a further period of research and writing. Normally under this category a candidate would re-enrol for a period of up to one-year fulltime (or equivalent). The thesis will then be submitted for re-examination.

☐ I am prepared to re-examine this thesis    ☐ I am NOT prepared to re-examine this thesis

☐ NOT AWARD: That the thesis does not meet the required standards for the award of the degree, and does not warrant a further period of research and writing.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

I do NOT wish my name to remain on Part II of the report referred to the candidate ☐

Signature of Examiner: ......................................................... Date: ..................
Please state concisely the grounds on which the recommendation is based, indicating the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis. This should be in sufficient detail for candidates to gain clear understanding of your opinion of their work and the means by which your conclusions were reached.

Where further work is required, please indicate clearly those revisions and/or corrections which you wish to see made. Supplementary sheets may be attached.

Name of Candidate:

Name of Examiner:

Signature of Examiner: ................................................................. Date: ..................